Statement on ethics and integrity of the "Pravna misao" journal

Federal Ministry of Justice publishes the journal "Pravna misao". The journal "Pravna misao" has been published continuously since 1969, providing theorists and practitioners alike with the opportunity to not only read and learn about the development of legal theory and practice, but also to write texts themselves that, once published, have the same weight as publicly and openly expressed point of view, substantiated and thoughtful. Throughout all these years, the journal has maintained its recognizable quality, ranking it among the most respectful and high-quality legal periodicals in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

"Pravna misao" is a scientific and professional publication, which upholds the highest editorial ethics and standards, as well as the principles of the COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors. The values that guide the editing and publication of this journal are sincerity, originality, and honesty on the part of the authors, and impartiality, objectivity, and confidentiality on the part of the editors and reviewers. In order to provide excellent content to our readers, authors, reviewers, and the publisher of Pravna misao must follow the following editorial work ethics rules.

If it is discovered that the submitted paper does not adhere to these criteria, it will not be published in the journal.

Papers are subject to double-blind peer review. Through the review and editing process, the possibility of content plagiarism and falsification is monitored. In case of plagiarism, the Editorial Board notifies the author and takes appropriate action.

The authors must guarantee the originality of the published works. Authors are expected to properly reference the words of other authors, collaborators, or sources. Authors submitting papers to Pravna misao must guarantee that the papers are their original author's work and that no sections or the paper in its entirety have been plagiarized without citing the sources used. Any part of the work that is a quotation or paraphrase of someone else's words or works must be marked with the reference from where it was taken. Authors should draw attention to potential financial or other conflicts of interest that may affect the outcome or interpretation of their work. If the author discovers an error in the work, he/she must notify the Editorial Board and provide assistance in removing the error or withdrawing the work. The authors are also required to correct the works in accordance with the reviewers' comments in order to assure the quality of the works. All articles are submitted electronically.

The publisher assures that all papers submitted for publication in the journal "Pravna misao" are double blind reviewed. It will make every effort to avoid any potential conflict of interest between the author and the publisher. The publisher further assures that the data related to submitted works will be kept confidential until publication, and if the work does not meet the criteria for publication, the data will remain permanently confidential. The publisher maintains the right to use any techniques (such as plagiarism detection software) to determine whether the works are original.

The publisher seeks to meet the needs of authors and readers, while continually working to improve the publication's quality. The review process by renowned reviewers ensures the quality of the papers published in this journal. The publisher guarantees authors freedom of expression. If necessary, the publisher is always willing to subsequently publish changes, clarifications, or apologies.

When presented with ethical complaints regarding work submitted for publication or already published, the editor takes appropriate action in collaboration with the Editorial Board. These measures will include contacting the author and providing a detailed explanation of the complaints received, but they may also include communication with appropriate institutions and investigative bodies. If the complaint is proven genuine, the publisher either withdraws the material without publishing it or prints a correction, expression of concern, or other pertinent notice in the following issue. Regardless of when the work was published, the editors must investigate any reported unethical behaviour in relation to the published works.

The reviewers rate the works anonymously based on their content, regardless of the author's ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, citizenship, religious beliefs, or political ideology. They are required to keep all the information about the submitted manuscripts confidential and to notify the editor-in-chief if they notice that the authors are infringing on copyrights or their work is plagiarised. They objectively evaluate the works and clearly express their evaluation in the review form. If the reviewer realises that he/she is not qualified to evaluate the work or is unable to do so, the reviewer must notify the editor-in-chief.